

## ON 3-CHROMATIC HYPERGRAPHS

J. BECK

*Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest V. Reáltanoda u.  
 13–15, Hungary.*

Received 19 December 1977

Revised 19 May 1978

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $n$ -uniform hypergraph. In the present paper I prove that if  $|\mathcal{F}| < n^{1/3 - \epsilon} 2^n$  then the chromatic number of  $\mathcal{F}$  is equal to 2. I have a result in the general (not necessarily uniform) case too.

### 1. Introduction

A hypergraph is a collection of sets. This paper deals with finite hypergraphs only. The sets in the hypergraph are called edges, and the elements of these edges are points. The hypergraph is  $n$ -uniform if every edge has  $n$  points.

The chromatic number of a hypergraph is the least number  $k$ , such that the points can be  $k$ -colored so that no edge is monochromatic. In our understanding any map  $f: G \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  is a  $k$ -coloration of a hypergraph, i.e. monochromatic edges are also allowed.

Let  $m(n)$  be the minimum number of edges of a 3-chromatic  $n$ -uniform hypergraph. It is known [1, 3]

$$\frac{1}{5} \log n 2^n \leq m(n) \leq n^2 2^n.$$

We improve the lower bound showing

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every  $\delta > 0$  if  $n \geq n(\delta)$*

$$m(n) \geq n^{1-\delta} 2^n.$$

It would be very interesting to improve the upper bound.

In the general (not necessarily uniform) case we have no good estimation. Let  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^N$  be a 3-chromatic hypergraph. Let

$$f(n) = \min \left( \sum_{k=1}^N 2^{-|E_k|} \right),$$

where the minimum is extended over all hypergraphs with  $\min |E_k| = n$ . Erdős [2]

proved that  $f(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and he [2, 4] suggested that  $f(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We shall prove this.

**Theorem 1.2.**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(n) = +\infty$ .

It is clear that  $f(n) \leq m(n)2^{-n}$ . We could not decide whether  $f(n) < m(n)2^{-n}$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $n$ -uniform hypergraph. If  $n \geq n(\epsilon)$  and

$$|\mathcal{F}| < n^{1-\delta} 2^n, \quad (2.1)$$

then we show that the points can be 2-colored so that no edge is monochromatic.

For every 2-coloration  $C = (K_1, K_2)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  we define a sequence of relations as follows

$$ER^1(C, j)F \iff E, F \in \mathcal{F}; \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad i = 1, 2$$

holds if and only if the coloration  $C$  satisfies the conditions

- (a)  $E \subset K_i$ ,
- (b)  $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$  and  $|F \cap K_i| = j$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^1(C) &= \{E \in \mathcal{F} : E \subset K_i\}, \\ \mathcal{B}^1(C, j) &= \{E, F : ER^1(C, j)F\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$|a|^+ = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a > 0, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *There is a 2-coloration  $C^* = (K_1^*, K_2^*)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that*

$$|\mathcal{A}^i(C^*)| \leq 4n^{1-\delta} \quad i = 1, 2$$

and

$$|\mathcal{B}^i(C^*, j)| \leq n^{-1} \binom{n}{j} 2^{j - \log n / \epsilon} \quad i = 1, 2; \quad 1 \leq j \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the set of all 2-colorations of  $\mathcal{F}$  and denote by  $p$  the number of points of  $\mathcal{F}$  (obviously  $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^n$ ).

We have by (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |\mathcal{A}^1(C)| &= \sum_{E \in \mathcal{F}} |\{C \in \mathcal{C} : E \subset \mathcal{A}^1(C)\}| \\ &= \sum_{E \in \mathcal{F}} 2^{p-n} = |\mathcal{F}| 2^{p-n} < n^{1-\delta} 2^n, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$|\{C \in \mathcal{C}: \exists_l (\mathcal{A}^l(C) > 4n^{1-\delta})\}| < 2^{p-n}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}^{(l)} = \{(E, l): |E \cap F| = l \text{ and } E, F \in \mathcal{F}\} \quad l = 1, \dots, n.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |\mathcal{B}^l(C, j)| &= \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{(E, F) \in \mathcal{F}^{(l)}} |\{C \in \mathcal{C}: E \mathcal{A}^l(C, j) \cap F\}| \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{(E, F) \in \mathcal{F}^{(l)}} \binom{n-l}{j-l} 2^{p-2n+l} < |\mathcal{F}|^2 \sum_{l=1}^j \binom{n-l}{j-l} 2^{p-2n+l}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\binom{n-l}{j-l} \leq \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^l \binom{n}{j} \quad \text{and} \quad j \leq \sqrt{n}$$

we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^j \binom{n-l}{j-l} 2^l \leq \binom{n}{j} \left( \sum_{l=1}^j \left(\frac{2j}{n}\right)^l \right) < \frac{4j}{n} \binom{n}{j}. \quad (2.4)$$

By (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |\mathcal{B}^l(C, j)| < \frac{4j}{n^{3+\delta/8}} \binom{n}{j} 2^p,$$

therefore:

$$\left| \left\{ C \in \mathcal{C}: \exists_l \left( |\mathcal{B}^l(C, j)| > n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \binom{n}{j} 2^{j-\log n} \right) \right\} \right| < 2^p \left( \frac{8j}{n^{2\delta} 2^{j-\log n}} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.5) we obtain if  $n \geq n_1(\delta)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left\{ C \in \mathcal{C}: \forall j \in [1, \sqrt{n}] \forall i \in [1, 2] \left( |\mathcal{B}^i(C, j)| \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \binom{n}{j} 2^{j-\log n} \right) \right\} \right| \leq 2^p \left( 1 - \frac{8j}{n^{2\delta} 2^{j-\log n}} \right) < 2^{p-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The lemma immediately follows from (2.2) and (2.6).

For every 2-coloration  $C = (K_1, K_2)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  we define

$$M^i(C) := \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{A}^i(C)} E \quad i = 1, 2$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^i(C, j) = \{E \cap M^i(C): E \in \mathcal{F}, |E \cap M^i(C)| = j\}$$

and

$$(E \setminus M^i(C)) \cap K_i = \emptyset \quad i = 1, 2; \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

We claim

$$|\mathcal{D}^i(C, j)| \leq |\mathcal{B}^i(C, j)| \quad i=1, 2; \quad j=1, \dots, n. \quad (2.7)$$

Indeed, if  $D = F \cap M^i(C) \in \mathcal{D}^i(C, j)$ , then there exists  $E \in M^i(C)$  for which  $F \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , therefore

$$(E, F) \in \mathcal{B}^i(C, j). \quad (2.8)$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{D}^i(C, j)$

$$D = F \cap K_j, \quad (2.9)$$

therefore  $D$  is determined by  $F$  and  $C$ . But (2.7) is an immediate consequence of (2.8) and (2.9).

Assume that (2.10) holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall i (i=1, 2) \quad \exists T^i \subset M^i(C^*) \\ & \{ \forall E \in \mathcal{A}^i(C^*) (T^i \cap E \neq \emptyset) \text{ and} \\ & \forall j (1 \leq j \leq |T^i|) \forall D \in \mathcal{D}^i(C^*, j) (T^i \not\supset D) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that if  $X, Y$  are sets  $X \Delta Y$  denotes the symmetric difference of  $X$  and  $Y$ .  $2^X$  denotes the power set of  $X$ . Set

$$C^{**} = \{K_1^* \Delta (T^1 \cup T^2), K_2^* \Delta (T^1 \cup T^2)\}.$$

It follows from (10) that in  $C^{**}$  there is no monochromatic edge. Therefore it is sufficient to prove (2.10).

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_j$  be  $j$ -uniform hypergraphs  $j=1, 2, \dots$  and  $G$  be an  $n$ -uniform hypergraph. Suppose that

$$|\mathcal{H}_j| \leq 2^M \quad j=1, 2, \dots \quad \text{and} \quad |G| \leq 2^{M^1}.$$

Also suppose

$$|\mathcal{H}_j| |G| < \frac{1}{100} \binom{n}{j} 2^{(j-3) \log |G| - 6j^2}. \quad (*)$$

Then there exists  $T \subset M$  such that

- (i)  $|T| = \lfloor |M| n^{-1} (\log |G| + 2) \rfloor$  (integral part)
- (i) for every  $A \in G: T \cap A \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) for every  $j$ , for every  $B \in \mathcal{H}_j: T \not\supset B$ .

**Proof.** Let  $t = \lfloor mn^{-1} (\log |G| + 2) \rfloor$  where  $m = |M|$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\binom{m}{t}} |\{U \subset M: |U| = t \text{ and } \exists A \in G (U \cap A = \emptyset)\}| \\ & \leq \frac{\binom{m-n}{t}}{\binom{m}{t}} |G| \leq \left(1 - \frac{n}{m}\right)^t |G| < e^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\binom{m}{t}} |\{U \subset M : |U| = t \text{ and } \exists B \in \mathcal{H}_j(U \supset B)\}| \\ & \leq \frac{\binom{m-j}{t-j}}{\binom{m}{t}} |\mathcal{H}_j| = \frac{\binom{j}{t-j}}{\binom{m}{t}} |\mathcal{H}_j|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By (\*) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{j}{t-j}}{\binom{m}{t}} |\mathcal{H}_j| & < \frac{1}{100|G|} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{j}{t-j}}{\binom{m}{t}} 2^{j-3 \log |G| - 6|t|} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{100|G|} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor 3 \log |G| + 6 \rfloor} \frac{(\log |G| + 2)^j}{j!} \right\} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{100|G|} \left\{ \sum_{j \geq \lfloor 3 \log |G| + 6 \rfloor} \frac{(\log |G| + 2)^j}{j!} 2^{j-3 \log |G| - 6|t|} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{e^{\log |G| + 2}}{100|G|} + \frac{1}{50} < \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

If we combine (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) this completes the proof of the lemma.

Let  $M = M^i(C^*)$ ,  $G = \mathcal{A}^i(C^*)$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_j = \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^i(C^*, j) & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n^{\frac{1}{3}}, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We shall check the condition (\*). By (2.7) and Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}^i(C^*)| |\mathcal{D}^i(C^*, j)| & \leq 4n^{-\delta} \binom{n}{j} 2^{(j - \log n)^+} \\ & < \frac{1}{100} \binom{n}{j} 2^{(j - 3 \log |\mathcal{A}^i(C^*)| - 6)^+} \quad \text{if } n \geq n_2(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\left[ \frac{|M^i(C^*)|}{n} (\log |\mathcal{A}^i(C^*)| + 2) \right] \leq n^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (2.14)$$

Indeed, by Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|M^i(C^*)|}{n} (\log |\mathcal{A}^i(C^*)| + 2) & \leq |\mathcal{A}^i(C^*)| (\log |\mathcal{A}^i(C^*)| + 2) \\ & \leq n^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{if } n \geq n_3(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

By the application of Lemma 2.2 and by (2.14) we obtain that (2.10) holds if  $n \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} n_i(\delta)$ , hence the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We start by introducing some notations. let

$$\exp(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(k) = 2^{\exp(k-1)}.$$

Let

$$\lg n(0) = n \quad \text{and} \quad \lg n(k) = \log \log \{\lg n(k-1)\}$$

where  $\log X$  is the binary log of  $X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a hypergraph for which

$$\min_{E \in \mathcal{F}} |E| = n \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{F}} 2^{-|E|} \leq L. \tag{3.2}$$

If  $n \geq \exp(7L+100)$ , then we show that the points of  $\mathcal{F}$  can be 2-colored so that no edge is monochromatic. We may assume that  $L$  is integer.

For every 2-coloration  $C = (K_1, K_2)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  we define  $\mathcal{A}^i(C)$  and  $\mathcal{B}^i(C, s, t)$  [ $i = 1, 2$ ;  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ ] as follows

$$\mathcal{A}^i(C) = \{E \in \mathcal{F} : E \subset K_i\},$$

let  $\mathcal{B}^i(C, s, t)$  be the set of  $(E_0, \dots, E_s)$  where  $\{E_j\}_{j=0}^s$  satisfies the conditions

- (a)  $E_j, j = 0, \dots, s$  are different elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ ;
- (b)  $E_j \subset K_0, \quad j = 1, \dots, s$ ;
- (c)  $(E_0 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^s E_j) \cap K_1 = \emptyset$ ;
- (d)  $|\bigcup_{j=0}^s E_j| \geq \sum_{j=0}^s |E_j| - \binom{s}{2} \lg n(k) - 3L$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *There is a 2-coloration  $C^* = (K_1^*, K_2^*)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that*

- (i)  $|\mathcal{A}^i(C^*)| \leq 3L \quad i = 1, 2$ ,
- (ii)  $|\mathcal{B}^i(C^*, s, t)| \leq \beta(s, t) \quad i = 1, 2; s = 1, \dots, 3L; t = 1, \dots, 3L$ ,

where

$$\beta(s, t) = \beta(s, t, L) = L^{s+3} 2^{\binom{s}{2} \lg n(k) + 3L + 6}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the set of all 2-colorations of  $\mathcal{F}$  and denote by  $\nu$  the number of points of  $\mathcal{F}$  (obviously  $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^\nu$ ).

We have by (3.2)

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |\mathcal{A}^i(C)| = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{F}} |\{C \in \mathcal{C} : E \subset \mathcal{A}^i(C)\}| = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{F}} 2^{\nu - |E|} \leq 2^\nu L,$$

thus

$$|\{C \in \mathcal{C} : \exists i \in [1, 2] (|\mathcal{A}^i(C)| > 3L)\}| < \frac{2}{3} 2^p. \quad (3.3)$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}^1 = \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^k = \mathcal{F}^{k-1} \times \mathcal{F}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}(s, t, L) = \left\{ (E_0, \dots, E_s) : E_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, s \right.$$

are different elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  and

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=0}^s E_i \right| \geq \sum_{i=0}^s |E_i| - \binom{3L}{2} \lg n(t) - 3L \Big\}.$$

We have by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |\mathcal{B}^i(C, s, t)| &= \sum_{(E_0, \dots, E_s) \in \mathcal{F}(s, t, L)} |\{C \in \mathcal{C} : (E_0, \dots, E_s) \in \mathcal{B}^i(C, s, t)\}| \\ &= \sum_{(E_0, \dots, E_s) \in \mathcal{F}(s, t, L)} 2^{p - |\bigcup_{i=0}^s E_i|} \\ &\leq 2^{p + \alpha(L, t)} \left( \sum_{(E_0, \dots, E_s) \in \mathcal{F}(s, t, L)} 2^{-\sum_{i=0}^s |E_i|} \right) \\ &\leq 2^{p + \alpha(L, t)} \left( \sum_{(E_0, \dots, E_s) \in \mathcal{F}^{s+1}} 2^{-\sum_{i=0}^s |E_i|} \right) \\ &= 2^{p + \alpha(L, t)} \left( \sum_{E \in \mathcal{F}} 2^{-|E|} \right)^{s+1} \\ &\leq L^{s+1} 2^{p + \alpha(L, t)} = 2^{p - \alpha} \frac{\beta(s, t)}{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha(L, t) = \binom{3L}{2} \lg n(t) + 3L.$$

Thus

$$|\{C \in \mathcal{C} : |\mathcal{B}^i(C, s, t)| > \beta(s, t)\}| < \frac{2^{p-6}}{L^2},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{C \in \mathcal{C} : \forall i \in [1, 2], \forall s \in [1, 3L], \forall t \in [1, 3L] : |\mathcal{B}^i(C, s, t)| \leq \beta(s, t)\}| \\ &\geq 2^p - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{s=1}^{3L} \sum_{t=1}^{3L} \frac{2^{p-6}}{L^2} > \frac{2}{3} 2^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

(3.3) and (3.4) prove the lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set-system and  $\{\varphi(n)\}_{n=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|-1}$  be a decreasing sequence of real numbers. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  can be written in the form:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A_{j,k} : 1 \leq j \leq r, 0 \leq k < s_j\} \quad s_j \geq 1; j = 1, \dots, r$$

satisfying the following conditions

- (i)  $|A_{j,0} \cap A_{j,k}| \geq \varphi(\sum_{v=1}^{j-1} s_v + k)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ;  $1 \leq k < s_j$   
 (ii) if  $1 \leq j < j_1 \leq r$ , then  $|A_{j,0} \cap A_{j_1,k}| < \varphi(\sum_{v=1}^j s_v)$ ,  $0 \leq k < s_{j_1}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $|\mathcal{A}| = m$ . We shall prove the Lemma by induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 1$  it is obvious. Assume  $m > 1$ , and the statement is true for arbitrary  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\psi(n)_{n=1}^{|\mathcal{B}|-1}$  with  $|\mathcal{B}| < m$ .

A very simple argument shows that we can choose different elements  $A^{(0)}, \dots, A^{(\omega-1)}$  ( $\omega \geq 1$ ) of  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying the following requirements:

$$|A^{(0)} \cap A^{(j)}| \geq \varphi(j) \quad 1 \leq j < \omega, \quad (3.5)$$

$$|A^{(0)} \cap B| < \omega(\omega) \quad \text{for every } B \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A^{(0)}, \dots, A^{(\omega-1)}\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A^{(0)}, \dots, A^{(\omega-1)}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^*(n) = \varphi(n + \omega).$$

By the induction hypothesis  $\mathcal{A}^*$  can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{A}^* = \{A_{j,k}^* : 1 \leq j \leq r^*, 0 \leq k < s_j^*\},$$

where

$$|A_{j,0}^* \cap A_{j,k}^*| \geq \varphi\left(\omega + \sum_{v=1}^{j-1} s_v^* + k\right), \quad (3.7)$$

$$|A_{i,0}^* \cap A_{j_1,k}^*| < \varphi\left(\omega + \sum_{v=1}^i s_v^*\right) \quad \text{if } i < j_1. \quad (3.8)$$

Let

$$A_{1,k} = A^{(k)} \quad 0 \leq k < \omega = s_1$$

and

$$A_{j,k} = A_{j-1,k}^* \quad 2 \leq j \leq r^* + 1, 0 \leq k < s_{j-1}^* = s_j.$$

Lemma 3.2 follows from (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8).

Let

$$\mathcal{A}^i = \mathcal{A}^i(C^*) \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(i) = \lg n(i),$$

then by Lemma 3.2 and by (3.1)  $\mathcal{A}^i(C^*)$  can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{A}^i(C^*) = \{A_{j,k}^i : 1 \leq j \leq r^{(i)}, 0 \leq k < s_j^{(i)}\} \quad i = 1, 2$$

where

$$|A_{j,0}^i \cap A_{j,k}^i| \geq \lg n\left(\sum_{v=1}^{j-1} s_v^{(i)} + k\right) \quad 1 \leq j \leq r^{(i)}, 0 \leq k < s_j^{(i)} \quad (3.9)$$



and

$$|A_{i,0}^i \cap A_{i,k}^i| < \lg n \left( \sum_{v=1}^i s_v^{(i)} \right) \quad 1 \leq j < j_i \leq r^{(i)}, 0 \leq k < s_{j_i}^{(i)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_l^i = \{A_{i,0}^i : j \geq l\},$$

$$M_l^i = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_l^i} A,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_l^i &= \mathcal{D}_l^i(C^*) = \{E \in \mathcal{F} : (E \setminus M_l^i) \cap K_i^* = \emptyset, \\ &\quad |E \cap M_l^i| \leq 3L \text{ and } E \cap M_l^i = E \cap M_l^i\} \\ &\quad i = 1, 2; l = 1, \dots, r^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.3**

$$3L |D_l^i| < \lg n \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^l s_j^{(i)} \right) - 1 \right\} \quad i = 1, 2; l = 1, \dots, r^{(i)}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $E \in \mathcal{D}_l^i$  and  $A_{j_1,0}^i \cdots A_{j_l,0}^i$  ( $l \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_l$ ) denote those elements of  $\mathcal{A}_l^i$  which intersect  $E$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{D}_l^i$

$$\left( E \setminus \bigcup_{v=1}^l A_{j_v,0}^i \right) \cap K_i^* = \emptyset. \quad (3.11)$$

On the other hand by (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcup_{v=1}^l A_{j_v,0}^i \cup E \right| &\geq |E| + \sum_{v=1}^l |A_{j_v,0}^i| \\ &\quad - \left| E \cap \bigcup_{v=1}^l A_{j_v,0}^i \right| - \sum_{1 \leq v < \mu \leq l} |A_{j_v,0}^i \cap A_{j_\mu,0}^i| \\ &\geq |E| + \sum_{v=1}^l |A_{j_v,0}^i| - 3L - \binom{3L}{2} \lg n \left( \sum_{j=1}^l s_j^{(i)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

therefore by (3.11), (3.12)

$$(E, A_{j_1,0}^i, \dots, A_{j_l,0}^i) \in \mathcal{B}^i \left( C^*, q, \sum_{j=1}^l s_j^{(i)} \right). \quad (3.13)$$

Lemma 3.1, the definition of  $\lg n(t)$  and (3.13) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |D_l^i| &\leq \sum_{q=1}^{3L} \left| \mathcal{B}^i \left( C^*, q, \sum_{j=1}^l s_j^{(i)} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{q=1}^{3L} \beta \left( q, \sum_{j=1}^l s_j^{(i)} \right) = 2^{\binom{3L}{2}} \lg n(\sum_{j=1}^l s_j^{(i)}) + 3L + 6 \left( \sum_{q=1}^{3L} L^{q+3} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\lg n \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^l s_j^{(i)} \right) - 1 \right\}}{3L}. \end{aligned}$$

The least inequality is true, since by  $r \geq \exp(7L + 100)$

$$\lg n \left\{ \left( \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} s_v^{(i)} \right) - 1 \right\} \geq \lg n(3L) \geq \exp(L + 100).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Let

$$B_{j,k}^i = (A_{j,0}^i \cap A_{i,k}^i) \setminus \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{D}_i^i} E.$$

We claim

$$B_{j,k}^i \neq \emptyset \quad i = 1, 2; \quad 1 \leq j \leq r^{(i)}; \quad 0 \leq k < s_j^{(i)}.$$

Indeed, by (3.9) and Lemma 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{j,k}^i| &\geq \lg n \left( \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} s_v^{(i)} + k \right) - 3L |\mathcal{D}| \\ &> \lg n \left( \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} s_v^{(i)} + k \right) - \lg n \left\{ \left( \sum_{v=1}^i s_v^{(i)} \right) - 1 \right\} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to prove the theorem. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B_{j,k}^i : i = 1, 2; 1 \leq j \leq r^{(i)}; 0 \leq k < s_j^{(i)}\}$$

and  $\tilde{B} = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{B}} F$ . Let  $\tilde{g}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  be a "choice" function so that  $\tilde{g}(F) \in F$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{B}$  and let  $\tilde{g}(\mathcal{B}) = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{B}} \tilde{g}(F)$ . Set

$$C^{**} = (K_1^* \Delta \tilde{g}(\mathcal{B}), K_2^* \Delta \tilde{g}(\mathcal{B}))$$

( $X \Delta Y$  denotes the symmetric difference of  $X$  and  $Y$ ).

We claim that in the 2-coloration  $C^{**}$  there is no monochromatic edge of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Observe that it is sufficient to prove that there is no monochromatic edge of  $\mathcal{D}_i^i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

Let us consider that

$$E_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{j_0}^i \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j_0+1}^i \quad (3.14)$$

is monochromatic in  $C^{**}$  (let  $\mathcal{D}_j^i = \emptyset$ ,  $j > r^{(i)}$ ). This means that  $E_0 \cap K_i^{**} = \emptyset$  and

$$\exists d(d \in (E_0 \cap M_{j_0}^i) \setminus M_{j_0+1}^i). \quad (3.15)$$

Since  $d \in K_i^* \setminus K_i^{**}$ , we have  $d \in \tilde{g}(\mathcal{B})$ , so

$$\exists B_{j^*,k}^i \in \mathcal{B} : d = \tilde{g}(B_{j^*,k}^i).$$

If  $l^* \leq j_0$ , then

$$d \in B_{j^*,k}^i = (A_{j^*,0}^i \cap A_{i,k}^i) \setminus \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{D}_{j^*}^i} F$$

but by (3.14)

$$d \in E_3 \subset \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{B}_{I^*}^i} F,$$

a contradiction.

Finally, if  $I^* \geq j_i + 1$ , then

$$d \in B_{I^*,k}^i \subset A_{I^*,0}^i \subset M_{i+1}^i$$

but by (3.15)

$$d \in M_{i+1}^i,$$

a contradiction.

## References

- [1] J. Beck, On a combinatorial problem of P. Erdős and L. Lovász, *Discrete Math.* 17(1977)127-131.
- [2] P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem, *Nordisk. Mat. Tidskr.* 11 (1) 5-10.
- [3] P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem II, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* 15, 445-447.
- [4] P. Erdős and L. Lovász, Problems and results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions, *Colloquia Math. Sec. János Bolyai* 10, Infinite and finite sets (1973).